

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau



7063G

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 6 : C12Q 1/68, C12P 19/34		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 99/40222 (43) International Publication Date: 12 August 1999 (12.08.99)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US99/01936 (22) International Filing Date: 29 January 1999 (29.01.99) (30) Priority Data: 60/073,716 4 February 1998 (04.02.98) US		(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>With international search report.</i>	
(71) Applicant: VARIAGENICS, INC. [US/US]; Building 400, One Kendall Square, Cambridge, MA 02139 (US). (72) Inventors: KEMPER, Borries; Gartenweg 31, D-50869 Köln 40 (DE). BIRKENKAMP-DEMTER, Karin; Variagenics, Inc., Building 400, One Kendall Square, Cambridge, MA 02139 (US). GOLZ, Stefan; Im Osterbruch 14, D-45329 Essen (DE). (74) Agent: ELBING, Karen, L.; Clark & Elbing LLP, 176 Federal Street, Boston, MA 02110-2214 (US).			
(54) Title: MISMATCH DETECTION TECHNIQUES			
(57) Abstract Disclosed herein is a method for detecting a mismatch in a duplex nucleic acid, involving: a) contacting the duplex nucleic acid with a reactive agent under conditions which permit the agent to bind but not cleave a mismatch in said duplex nucleic acid; b) detecting the binding of the agent to the duplex nucleic acid as an indication of the presence of a mismatch in the duplex nucleic acid; c) contacting the duplex nucleic acid with the reactive agent under conditions which permit the agent to cleave a mismatch in the duplex nucleic acid; and d) detecting a cleavage product as an indication of the presence of a mismatch in the duplex nucleic acid.			

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon			PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

MISMATCH DETECTION TECHNIQUES

Background of the Invention

5 In general, this invention relates to mismatch detection techniques.

The ability to detect mismatches in coding and non-coding DNA, as well as RNA, is important in a number of diagnostic as well as therapeutic contexts. Such a mismatch may occur at a single nucleotide or over multiple nucleotides, and may result from a frame shift, stop codon, or substitution in a gene, each of which can

10 independently render an encoded protein inactive. Alternatively, the mismatch may indicate a genetic variant which is harmless, resulting in a protein product with no detectable change in function (for example, a harmless gene polymorphism). Eight single base mismatches are possible, and these include G:A, C:T, C:C, G:G, A:A, T:T, C:A, and G:T, with U being substituted for T when the nucleic acid strand is RNA.

15 Nucleic acid loops can form when at least one strand of a mismatch-containing sequence, or heteroduplex, includes a deletion, substitution, insertion, transposition, or inversion of DNA or RNA.

In one particular application, mismatch detection techniques may be used for identifying or evaluating mutations in nucleic acid sequences. Mutations are heritable changes in the sequence of the genetic material of an organism which can cause fatal defects like hereditary diseases or cancer (recent reviews in Modrich, *Science* 266: 1959-1960 (1994)). As a result, methods for mutation detection are gaining increasing importance especially in medical diagnostics. Although mutations can be localized with great precision by DNA sequencing (Sanger et al. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 74: 25 5463-5467 (1977)), this procedure is relatively time consuming and expensive, and requires toxic chemicals. Alternatively, procedures have been developed that measure mutations by mispairings in heteroduplex DNAs obtained after annealing wild-type with mutant sequences *in vitro* (reviewed in Cotton, *Mutations Research* 285: 125-144 (1993)).

-2-

5 Besides physical and chemical methods, enzymatic assay systems using
proteins involved in DNA repair have also been established. One of these enzymes is
endonuclease VII of bacteriophage T4 (T4 Endo VII) (Golz et al., DNA Res. 2: 277-
284 (1995); Kemper et al., Eur. J. Biochem. 115: 123-131 (1981)). T4 Endo VII
assays detect all possible mismatches including C/C mismatches, heteroduplex loops,
10 single nucleotide bulges, single-strand overhangs, branched DNAs, bulky adducts,
psoralen crosslinks, and apurinic sites (Kemper, In Nickoloff, J.A. and Hoekstra, M.
(eds.), DNA Damage and Repair. Biochemistry, Genetics and Cell Biology, Humana
Press, Totowa, Vol. 1 (1997)). The broad substrate specificity makes the enzyme an
extremely versatile tool for mismatch detection (Cotton, Mutation detection, Oxford
15 University Press, Oxford (1997)). The nucleolytic activity of T4 Endo VII has been
used successfully to detect mutations in heteroduplex DNA by cleavage assays (Youil
et al., Genomics 32: 431-435 (1996)).

 T4 Endo VII belongs to a class of enzymes termed resolvases, which are
characterized by their ability to catalyze the resolution of branched DNA
20 intermediates (e.g., DNA cruciforms), structures which can involve hundreds of
nucleotides. Besides T4 Endo VII, the resolvases include, without limitation,
bacteriophage T7 Endonuclease I (West, Ann. Rev. Biochem. 61, 603, (1992)) and
eukaryotic resolvases, particularly from the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, which
have been shown to recognize and cleave cruciform DNA (West, *supra*; Jensch, et al.,
25 EMBO J. 8, 4325 (1989)).

Summary of the Invention

 In general, the invention features a method for detecting a mismatch in a duplex
nucleic acid, involving: a) contacting the duplex nucleic acid with a resolvase which
is capable of cleaving a mismatch in a duplex nucleic acid, under conditions which
30 permit the resolvase to bind but not cleave the mismatch; and b) detecting the
resolvase binding to the duplex nucleic acid as an indication of the presence of a
mismatch in the duplex nucleic acid.

5 In preferred embodiments, the resolvase is a bacteriophage or a eukaryotic
resolvase (for example, T4 Endonuclease VII); the contacting conditions involve the
absence of magnesium; the duplex nucleic acid is labeled with at least one detection
moiety either prior to the contact with the resolvase or between the contact with the
resolvase and the detection step; the detection moiety is any one of a radioactive label,
10 a fluorescent label, biotin, digoxigenin, a luminescent agent, a dye, or an enzyme; at
least one strand of the duplex is labeled with biotin and the detecting step involves
reaction of the duplex with a streptavidin-bound detection moiety (for example, an
enzyme which produces a detectable color upon reaction with a chromogenic
substrate); at least one strand of the duplex nucleic acid is provided by amplification;
15 the resolvase or the duplex nucleic acid is bound to a solid support; the resolvase is
bound to the solid support; the solid support is a microtiter plate or a magnetic bead;
the contacting step is carried out in solution; the site of resolvase binding is
determined; the method further involves the steps of: c) contacting the duplex nucleic
acid with the resolvase under conditions which permit the resolvase to cleave a
20 mismatch in the duplex nucleic acid; and d) detecting a cleavage product as an
indication of the presence of a mismatch in the duplex nucleic acid; the resolvase
cleaves at or within 10 nucleotides (and, preferably, within 6 nucleotides) of the
mismatch; the site of the resolvase cleavage reaction is determined; the duplex nucleic
acid is obtained from a heterozygote; at least one strand of the duplex nucleic acid is
25 derived from a eukaryotic cell, a eubacterial cell, a bacterial cell, a mycobacterial cell,
a bacteriophage, a DNA virus, or an RNA virus; at least one strand of the duplex
nucleic acid is derived from a human cell; the duplex nucleic acid comprises at least
one strand having a wild-type sequence; the mismatch indicates the presence of a
mutation; the mutation is diagnostic of a disease or condition; the mismatch indicates
30 the presence of a polymorphism; and the mismatch occurs in an essential gene.

 In a related aspect, the invention features a method for detecting a mismatch in
a duplex nucleic acid, involving: a) contacting the duplex nucleic acid with a reactive

-4-

5 agent under conditions which permit the agent to bind but not cleave a mismatch in
the duplex nucleic acid; b) detecting binding of the agent to the duplex nucleic acid
as an indication of the presence of a mismatch in the duplex nucleic acid; c) contacting
the duplex nucleic acid with the reactive agent under conditions which permit the
agent to cleave a mismatch in the duplex nucleic acid; and d) detecting a cleavage
10 product as an indication of the presence of a mismatch in the duplex nucleic acid.

In preferred embodiments, the binding reaction and the cleaving reaction are
carried out on the same sample; the binding reaction and the cleaving reaction are
carried out on separate samples of the same duplex nucleic acid (in any order or
simultaneously); the reactive agent is T4 Endonuclease VII; the binding reaction
15 conditions involve the absence of magnesium and the cleaving reaction conditions
involve the presence of magnesium; the duplex nucleic acid is labeled with at least one
detection moiety either prior to the contact with the reactive agent or between the
contact with the reactive agent and the detection step (b) or (d); the detection moiety is
any one of a radioactive label, a fluorescent label, biotin, digoxigenin, a luminescent
agent, a dye, or an enzyme; at least one strand of the duplex is labeled with biotin and
20 the detecting step involves reaction of the duplex with a streptavidin-bound detection
moiety (for example, an enzyme which produces a detectable color upon reaction with
a chromogenic substrate); at least one strand of the duplex nucleic acid is provided by
amplification; the reactive agent is bound to a solid support during the detecting step
25 (b); the solid support is a microtiter plate or a magnetic bead; the binding reaction, the
cleaving reaction, or both is carried out in solution; the site of the mismatch is
determined in step (b), step (d), or both; the reactive agent cleaves at or within 10
nucleotides (and, preferably, within 6 nucleotides) of the mismatch; the duplex nucleic
acid is obtained from a heterozygote; at least one strand of the duplex nucleic acid is
30 derived from a eukaryotic cell, a eubacterial cell, a bacterial cell, a mycobacterial cell,
a bacteriophage, a DNA virus, or an RNA virus; at least one strand of the duplex
nucleic acid is derived from a human cell; the duplex nucleic acid includes at least one

-5-

5 strand having a wild-type sequence; the mismatch indicates the presence of a mutation; the mutation is diagnostic of a disease or condition; the mismatch indicates the presence of a polymorphism; and the mismatch occurs in an essential gene.

As used herein, the term "mismatch" means that a nucleotide in one strand of DNA or RNA does not or cannot pair through Watson-Crick base pairing and π-
10 stacking interactions with a nucleotide in an opposing complementary DNA or RNA strand. Thus, adenine in one strand of DNA or RNA would form a mismatch with adenine in an opposing complementary DNA or RNA strand. Mismatches also occur where a first nucleotide cannot pair with a second nucleotide in an opposing complementary DNA or RNA strand because the second nucleotide is absent (i.e., one or more nucleotides are inserted or deleted). The methods of the invention are especially useful in detecting a mismatch in a test nucleic acid which contains between 15 1 and 50 (preferably, between 1 and 10 and, more preferably, between 1 and 7) nucleotide sequence changes (inclusive).

As used herein, a "resolvase" is any protein capable of recognizing and 20 cleaving a cruciform DNA as well as any mismatch (for example, a mismatch loop) in a heteroduplex template. Examples of resolvases include, without limitation, T4 endonuclease VII, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Endo X1, Endo X2, Endo X3, and CCE1 (Jensch et al., EMBO J. 8:4325, 1989; Kupfer and Kemper, Eur. J. Biochem. 238:77, 1995), T7 endonuclease I, *E. coli* MutY (Wu et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 25 89:8779-8783, 1992), mammalian thymine glycosylase (Wiebauer et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87:5842-5845, 1990), topoisomerase I from human thymus (Yeh et al., J. Biol. Chem. 266:6480-6484, 1991; Yeh et al., J. Biol. Chem. 269:15498-15504, 1994), and deoxyinosine 3' endonuclease (Yao and Kow, J. Biol. Chem. 269:31390-31396, 1994). In a given mismatch detection assay, one or several resolvases may be 30 utilized.

A "mutation," as used herein, refers to a nucleotide sequence change (i.e., a single or multiple nucleotide substitution, deletion, or insertion) in a nucleic acid

5 sequence that produces a phenotypic result. A nucleotide sequence change that does not produce a detectable phenotypic result is referred to herein as a "polymorphism."

By an "essential gene" is meant a gene whose product is necessary for cell viability.

10 By a "reactive agent" is meant any molecule (including a protein, peptide, or other organic molecule) that carries out both a binding and a cleaving reaction, but under different reaction conditions.

15 By the term "heteroduplex" is meant a structure formed between two annealed, complementary nucleic acid strands (e.g., the annealed strands of test and reference nucleic acids) in which one or more nucleotides in the first strand are unable to appropriately base pair with those in the second opposing, complementary strand because of one or more mismatches. Examples of different types of heteroduplexes include those which exhibit an exchange of one or several nucleotides, and insertion or deletion mutations, each of which is disclosed in Bhattacharyya and Lilley, Nucl. Acids. Res. 17: 6821 (1989). The term "complementary," as used herein, means that 20 two nucleic acids, e.g., DNA or RNA, contain a series of consecutive nucleotides which are capable of forming matched Watson-Crick base pairs to produce a region of double-strandedness. Thus, adenine in one strand of DNA or RNA pairs with thymine in an opposing complementary DNA strand or with uracil in an opposing complementary RNA strand. The region of pairing is referred to as a "duplex." A 25 duplex may be either a homoduplex or a heteroduplex.

As used herein, the phrase "preferentially hybridizes" refers to a nucleic acid strand which anneals to and forms a stable duplex, either a homoduplex or a heteroduplex, under normal hybridization conditions with a second complementary nucleic acid strand, and which does not form a stable duplex with unrelated nucleic 30 acid molecules under the same normal hybridization conditions. The formation of a duplex is accomplished by annealing two complementary nucleic acid strands in a hybridization reaction. The hybridization reaction can be made to be highly specific

5 by adjustment of the hybridization conditions (often referred to as hybridization stringency) under which the hybridization reaction takes place, such that hybridization between two nucleic acid strands will not form a stable duplex, e.g., a duplex that retains a region of double-strandedness under normal stringency conditions, unless the two nucleic acid strands contain a certain number of nucleotides in specific sequences

10 which are substantially or completely complementary. "Normal hybridization or normal stringency conditions" are readily determined for any given hybridization reaction (see, for example, Ausubel et al., *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, or Sambrook et al., *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press).

15 By "specific binding pair" is meant any pair of molecules, including a first and a second member, which have a specific, covalent or noncovalent affinity for each other. Examples of specific binding pairs include antigen/antibody pairs, DNA binding protein/DNA binding site pairs, enzyme/substrate pairs, lectin/carbohydrate pairs, and nucleic acid duplexes or ligated DNA strands. A preferred specific binding pair of the invention is avidin (for example, streptavidin) and biotin.

20

A "reference nucleic acid," as used herein, is any sequence of DNA or RNA that is at least 20 nucleotides in length, preferably between 100 and 40,000 nucleotides in length, and more preferably between 150 and 5000 nucleotides in length. Often, the reference nucleic acid will have a sequence that is indistinguishable from DNA obtained from a corresponding wild-type population.

25 A "test nucleic acid" is any sequence of DNA or RNA that is at least 20 nucleotides in length, preferably between 100 and 40,000 nucleotides in length, and more preferably between 150 and 5000 nucleotides in length. When particularly large test nucleic acid fragments are analyzed (i.e., larger than 2kb), the nucleic acid may be cleaved with a second restriction enzyme in order to obtain a fragment of a size suitable for denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (< 2kb). The choice of a second restriction enzyme will be guided by creating a restriction enzyme map of the

30

5 DNA fragment.

If desired, the test or reference nucleic acids may be isolated prior to carrying out the detection assay. By an "isolated nucleic acid" is meant a nucleic acid segment or fragment which is not immediately contiguous with (i.e., covalently linked to) both of the nucleic acids with which it is immediately contiguous in the naturally occurring 10 genome of the organism from which the nucleic acid is derived. The term, therefore, includes, for example, a nucleic acid which is incorporated into a vector, for example, a bacteriophage, virus, or plasmid vector capable of autonomous replication. The term "isolated nucleic acid" may also include a nucleic acid which is substantially purified from other nucleic acids, such as a nucleic acid fragment produced by chemical 15 means, selective amplification, or restriction endonuclease treatment. Because the detection assays of the invention may be used to simultaneously analyze more than one DNA sequence, isolation and purification are not required, but may be carried out if desired.

20 As disclosed in more detail below, the present invention provides a simple and inexpensive means for detecting DNA mismatches in nucleic acid samples. The rapid and sensitive nature of the claimed methods and their ability to be readily automated renders them practical for large scale screening of multiple samples or for screening a particular sample against a number of reference nucleic acids. The binding assay, for 25 example, may be facilitated by the use of pre-produced microtiter plates coated with a particular reactive agent (such as T4 Endo VII), which can be stored with refrigeration for extended periods of time and the results of which may be processed by robotics. In addition, the use of a reactive agent that, simply by a change in reaction conditions, can be utilized in both a very rapid binding assay and a second, cleavage-based assay 30 provides advantages over more conventional techniques, particularly in terms of cost and sensitivity. Importantly, this latter approach allows for a double check on the mismatch detection step, and also allows mass screening by means of a simple and

-9-

5 inexpensive binding assay followed by the ability to determine the mismatch site by means of a precise cleaving assay.

The techniques described herein are extremely useful for detecting DNA mutations and polymorphisms associated with mammalian diseases (such as cancer and various inherited diseases), as well as mutations which facilitate the development 10 of therapeutics for their treatment. Alternatively, the methods are also useful for forensic applications or the identification of useful traits in commercial (for example, agricultural) species.

Those skilled in the art will recognize that the invention is also useful for other purposes. For example, the claimed method facilitates detection of single base pair 15 mismatches in cloned DNA, for example, mutations introduced during experimental manipulations (e.g., transformation, mutagenesis, PCR amplification, or after prolonged storage or freeze:thaw cycles). This method is therefore useful for testing genetic constructs that express therapeutic proteins or that are introduced into a patient for gene therapy purposes.

20 The method may also be used for rapid typing of bacterial and viral strains. By "type" is meant to characterize an isogenic bacterial or viral strain by detecting one or more nucleic acid mutations that distinguishes the particular strain from other strains of the same or related bacteria or virus. As an example, genetic variation of the human immunodeficiency virus has led to the isolation of distinct HIV types, each 25 bearing distinguishing gene mutations (Lopez-Galindez et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:4280 (1991)). Other examples of test DNAs of particular interest for typing include test DNAs isolated from viruses of the family *Retroviridae*, for example, the human T-lymphocyte viruses or human immunodeficiency viruses (in particular, any one of HTLV-I, HTLV-II, HIV-1, or HIV-2), DNA viruses of the family 30 *Adenoviridae*, *Papovaviridae*, or *Herpetoviridae*, bacteria, or other organisms, for example, organisms of the order *Spirochaetales*, of the genus *Treponema* or *Borrelia*, of the order *Kinetoplastida*, of the species *Trypanosoma cruzi*, of the order

-10-

5 *Actinomycetales*, of the family *Mycobacteriaceae*, of the species *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, or of the genus *Streptococcus*.

Unless otherwise defined, all technical terms and scientific terms used herein have the same meaning as commonly understood by one of ordinary skill in the art to which this invention belongs. All publications mentioned herein are incorporated by 10 reference.

Other features and advantages of the invention will be apparent from the following description of the detailed description and from the claims.

Detailed Description of the Invention

15 The drawings will first briefly be described.

Description of the Drawings

FIGURES 1A-1C are photographs illustrating the selective binding of heteroduplex DNA by immobilized T4 Endo VII. Binding of heteroduplex DNA to 20 immobilized T4 Endo VII was tested by adding 5'-end labeled heteroduplex DNAs to T4 Endo VII-coated wells of microtiter plates in 75 mM phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) as described herein. Following removal of excess DNA by extensive washings, the amount of bound DNA was determined by spotting an aliquot of the sample recovered from the well to filter paper. The amount of radioactivity was determined by 25 phosphorimaging. Images of samples are shown. Figure 1A shows results using PCR fragments of 84 nucleotides and 164 nucleotides having each possible mismatch centrally located in the fragment sequence. For hybridization, equal amounts of mutant and wild-type PCR fragments were mixed in 100 μ l TE-buffer (10mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1mM EDTA). The hybridization was performed in a Biometra 30 thermocycler by stepwise cooling of the sample in 10°C steps (15 minutes per step), beginning with an initial 5 minute denaturing step at 95°C. After hybridization, the DNA was 5'-end labeled by standard methods. Figure 1B shows results using

-11-

5 heteroduplexes formed from PCR fragments of 263 nucleotides in length and having either centrally located C/C and G/G mismatches or a centrally located 8 nucleotide insertion. Binding to immobilized T4 Endo VII and assay of the amount of bound materials was done in the same way as described for Figure 1A above. Figure 1C shows results demonstrating the sensitivity of the binding assay as tested by mixing
10 equal amounts of synthetic heteroduplex substrates having a C/C mismatch ("MMCC") or an 8 nucleotide insert ("8nt-loop") with different amounts of homoduplex DNA, each at its individual optimal concentration of phosphate buffer. Assays were carried out according to the protocol described in Figure 1A. For Figures
15 1A-1C, "ctr" indicates controls containing hybrid homoduplexes without mispairings, and "std" indicates standards containing labeled substrate, at 15,000 psi per spot.

FIGURES 2A-2E demonstrate selective binding of heteroduplex DNA by T4 Endo VII in wells of microtiter plates. Two different protocols were used to test binding of heteroduplex DNA by immobilized T4 Endo VII. Figure 2A shows results from simultaneous addition of T4 Endo VII and heteroduplex DNA to the wells of the
20 microtiter plates. Figure 2B shows results from successive addition of T4 Endo VII and heteroduplex DNA to the wells of the microtiter plates. In both experiments the same series of phosphate-buffer ("P") concentrations (in mM) were used, that is P20, P50, P100, and P150, as indicated above the blots. Aliquots taken from the material retained in the wells after extensive washings were spotted on filter papers and
25 quantitated by phosphorimaging. Sample images are shown. In Figures 2A and 2B, "std" indicates standards containing the total amount of input DNA; "8nt" indicates heteroduplex DNA with an insertion loop of 8 nucleotides; "CFM13" indicates synthetic branched cruciform DNA; "MMCC" indicates heteroduplex hairpin DNA with a centrally located C/C mismatch (complete sequence shown in Figure 2E); and
30 "control" indicates homoduplex hairpin DNA. Figure 2C shows a comparison of relative binding efficiencies for different substrates as measured by protocol II (and as shown in Figure 2B). In Figure 2C, the light gray bars correspond to the "8nt"

-12-

5 samples, the black bars correspond to the "CFM13" samples, the white bars correspond to the "MMCC" samples, and the dark gray bars correspond to the "control" samples. Figure 2D indicates the nucleotide sequence of the hairpin substrate MMCC. Arrows point to the T4 Endo VII cleavage sites mapped in the experiments shown in Figure 2E. Figure 2E shows that the nuclease activity of T4
10 Endo VII was activated in an aliquot taken from well "MMCC/P100" (from Figure 2B) by the addition of 15mM Mg²⁺. The products of the reaction were separated on a 10% denaturing PAA gel. Cleavages flanking mismatch C/C in 5' end-labeled substrate "MMCC" (shown in Figre 2D) produced fragments of 36 nucleotides and 15 nucleotides in length. In Figure 2E, lane 1 shows control DNA, and lane 2 shows
15 MMCC DNA.

Described below is an improved method that is based on the binding of mismatches and bulges in heteroduplex DNAs by immobilized T4 Endo VII in the absence of Mg²⁺. The use of microtiter plates allows screening of large numbers of
20 samples, making the procedure fast, easy, and versatile. Mutations in the heteroduplex may be determined at this binding step or may be assayed by T4 Endo VII cleavage following incubation of aliquots of positive binding samples in the presence of Mg²⁺ (Solaro et al., J.Mol. Biol. 230: 868-877 (1993); Youil et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92: 87-91 (1995)). A combination of a binding step and a cleavage step, using a
25 single resolvase reagent, provides the ability to screen large numbers of unknown samples in a microtiter format, further processing only the positive samples while eliminating the majority of samples (i.e., the negative samples).

Detection of Mismatches by Resolvase Binding

30 A T4 Endo VII binding assay was tested for all possible mismatches centrally located in heteroduplex DNAs of 84bp and 164bp annealed from gel purified, PCR-amplified strands. Heteroduplexes of 263bp with a centrally located C/C mismatch or

-13-

5 an 8nt-insertion made by the same procedure were also tested.

For coating wells of microtiter plates, highly purified T4 Endo VII (Golz et al., 1995, *supra*) was diluted to a final concentration of 20 μ g/ml in Phosphate-buffer containing 75mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) and 5mM EDTA. Phosphate-buffer was used at pH 6.5 because the cloned enzyme showed considerably higher 10 specific activity in this buffer under certain experimental conditions. In a standard reaction procedure, 1 μ g of T4 Endo VII was added in 50 μ l to each well of a 96-well microtiter plate and incubated at room temperature for at least 30 minutes or 1 hour. Longer incubation times did not influence the results. The plates could be used 15 immediately or stored in a humid atmosphere at 4°C for up to seven days without loss of activity. The protein-containing coating solution was not removed from the wells, and sample DNA was added directly.

For the binding assay, approximately 3 fmoles of radioactively labeled heteroduplex DNA were added to each well containing protein solution, the microtiter plates were mixed gently, and then incubated for 2 hours in a humid atmosphere at 20 room temperature. Liquid was discarded, and unbound DNA and protein were removed by washing the plates five times each with 200 μ l of incubation buffer per well. For the release of bound DNA from the wells, 50 μ l of 1% SDS solution was added. Repeated pipetting ensured complete removal. For visualization and documentation, the sample volume was kept small and reduced by vaporization if 25 necessary. 5-10 μ l of liquid was spotted onto small Whatman 3MM filters, air dried, and quantitated with a phosphorimager (FujiBas 1000). Yes-or-no answers reported the presence or absence of mutations, and large screens of heteroduplex samples could be processed in less than a few hours.

As shown in Figures 1A-1C, all mismatches were clearly detected. Differences 30 among individual mismatches were also recognized. The affinity of T4 Endo VII to a mixture of C/C and G/G mismatches was the highest, and to a mixture of A/A and T/T mismatches the lowest. To determine the signal-to-noise ratios for different

-14-

5 heteroduplexes, data from repeated binding experiments using heteroduplex PCR-made DNAs of 84bp, 164bp and 263bp with all possible mismatches and an 8nt insert were compared. As shown in Table 1, the signal-to-noise ratio between mismatch and control was in all cases better than two (Table 1).

TABLE 1

Substrate	Experiments (no.)	Signal-to-noise (-fold)	Standard Deviation
PCR-84 bp			
C/C + G/G	5	3.54	0.14
A/A + T/T	5	2.32	0.13
C/T + A/G	5	2.64	0.16
A/G + C/T	5	3.2	0.17
PCR-164 bp			
C/C + G/G	5	3.46	0.25
A/A + T/T	5	2.3	0.21
C/T + A/G	5	2.66	0.15
A/G + C/T	5	2.98	0.21
PCR-263bp			
C/G + G/G	4	3.33	0.33
8nt insert	4	4.9	0.16

25

The data presented in Figure 1C further demonstrated that the sensitivity of the procedure was high, with heteroduplex DNA being detected reliably even at a background of up to 87.5% of homoduplex 'wild-type' DNA. This was tested using synthetic oligonucleotides, one of 44bp with a C/C mismatch ("MMCC") and the other having an 8 nucleotide insert ("8nt-insert") (Figure 1C) (Solaro et al., *supra*). This sensitivity is sufficient for most applications. For example, if PCR-products were obtained from heterozygous cells, 50% of the DNA would be heteroduplex and 50%

-15-

5 homoduplex after melting and reannealing. The heteroduplex DNA would contain two complementary mismatches, each representing 25% of the total DNA. In the situation where the enzyme reacted poorly with one of the mismatching nucleotides, sensitivity would still be high due to the availability of the other. Cases in which both mismatches would be detected poorly would be quite rare.

10 Our results in sensitivity experiments also indicated that the concentration of the phosphate buffer could markedly influence the signal-to-noise ratio for individual heteroduplexes. Concentrations ranging from 20mM to 150mM were successfully used in trial experiments with several substrates.

15 In conclusion, the above experiments demonstrated that, in addition to the ability of T4 Endo VII to cleave at mismatches, its binding ability may also be used for mismatch detection. A similar procedure using immobilized repair protein MutS of *E. coli* was recently reported. However, MutS is not reliable in reporting C/C mismatches, nor does it recognize insertion or deletion mutations (Wagner et al., Nucleic. Acids. Res. 23: 3944-3948 (1995)). In addition, MutS cannot be utilized for 20 simple, second-round cleavage reactions, as demonstrated for Endo VII.

Comparison of Experimental Protocols for Mismatch Detection by T4 Endo VII Binding.

25 The binding of heteroduplex DNA by immobilized T4 Endo VII was tested with three different substrates and a control DNA. These DNAs included a linear heteroduplex of 46bp with a centrally located insert of 8 nucleotides ("8nt" in Figures 2A-2E), a cruciform DNA ("CFM13" in Figures 2A-2E), a heteroduplex hairpin with a C/C mismatch ("MMCC" in Figures 2A-2E), and a linear homoduplex with the same sequence but without the mismatch as a control substrate ("control" in Figures 2A-2E).

30 In addition, two different protocols were utilized. Protocol I allowed for the binding reaction between T4 Endo VII and the DNA to proceed before the total protein was trapped to the inner surfaces of the wells of microtiter plates. Protocol II

-16-

5 started with protein-coated microtiter plates followed by the binding of DNA in a second reaction. In both protocols, the same procedure was used to determine the relative binding strength between bound DNA and T4 Endo VII by applying a series of washes with phosphate buffers of increasing ionic strength and quantitation of the eluted material.

10 Results obtained with side by side trials of both protocols are shown in Figures 2A and 2B, and summarized for all substrates in Figure 2C. It is evident that the amount of bound DNA was considerably higher when precoated microtiter wells were used (protocol II). The decreased efficiency of binding of preformed complexes was possibly a result of steric hindrance to the binding of the inner surface of the well.

15 This was true for salt concentrations of 20mM, 50mM, 100mM, and 150mM. The largest difference between control and sample DNAs was seen when the binding reaction was performed with 150 mM phosphate buffer.

Use of a T4 Endo VII Cleavage Step.

20 After identification of mismatch-containing samples using a binding assay (i.e., positive samples in any of the above binding techniques), the sample results may be checked by means of a resolvase cleavage assay. This second step can immediately follow the binding assay or can be carried out in parallel. For T4 Endo VII, this step involved the addition of Mg²⁺ to an aliquot of the binding assay sample, or a duplicate 25 sample. This addition of Mg²⁺ triggered T4 Endo VII to cleave within a few nucleotides 3' of the mismatched nucleotide (Figure 2D). In one particular example, an aliquot was taken from the sample indicated as "MMCC" in "P100" in Figure 2B. This sample was treated with 15mM Mg²⁺, incubated for 15 minutes at 37°C, and then electrophoresed through a 10% polyacrylamide (PAA) gel. The results, which are 30 shown in Figure 2E, demonstrate cleavage of the sample by T4 Endo VII upon Mg²⁺ addition. In these experiments, an appropriate size marker allowed approximation of the fragment sizes, leading to the mapping of the location of the mutation as indicated

5 in Figure 2D.

Related Assay Techniques

Although the above techniques were carried out with T4 Endo VII, the general approach described herein may be utilized with other resolvases and with other reactive agents capable of both binding and cleaving a substrate under different reaction conditions. Additional embodiments of the invention are therefore set forth below.

15 i) Other reactive agents: Bacteriophage T4 endonuclease VII is one example of a resolvase known to bind and cleave DNA cruciforms under differing reaction conditions, and preferred methods for purifying this enzyme are presented, for example, in Babon et al., U.S.S.N. 08/545,404, hereby incorporated by reference. Additional resolvases with similar cleaving activity include bacteriophage T7 endonuclease I, and the *S. cerevisiae* cruciform cleaving enzymes Endo X1, Endo X2, Endo X3, and CCE1 (reviewed in West, S.C. *supra*). Methods for purifying 20 bacteriophage T7 endonuclease I (deMassy, B., et al. *J. Mol. Biol.* 193: 359 (1987)), Endo X1 (West, S.C. and Korner, A. *PNAS*, 82, 6445 (1985); (West, S.C. et al. *J. Biol. Chem.* 262: 12752 (1987)), Endo X2 (Symington, L.S. and Kolodner, R. *PNAS* 82: 7247 (1985)), Endo X3 (Jensch F. et al. *EMBO J.* 8, 4325 (1989)), and CCE1 (Kupfer and Kemper, *Eur. J. Biochem.* 238:77 (1995)) have been disclosed. The 25 ability of each of these resolvases to bind at sites of mismatches may be examined using the assays described herein.

ii) Additional nucleic acid sequences: The techniques of the invention may be used to assay for a mismatched nucleic acid in a wide variety of different types of nucleic acid samples. For example, a DNA restriction fragment of known or unknown DNA sequence which is suspected of bearing at least one DNA mutation or polymorphism may be used as a test DNA in the formation of a heteroduplex. Preferably the DNA restriction fragment is at least 20 base pairs in length. More

5 preferably, the DNA restriction fragment is between 50 and 40,000 base pairs in length inclusive, most preferably between 100 and 5000 base pairs in length inclusive. In experiments where particularly large DNA fragments are analyzed (e.g., larger than 2kb), it may be desirable to cleave the fragment with a second restriction enzyme to obtain a fragment of a size suitable for denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis 10 (< 2kb). The choice of a second restriction enzyme will be guided by creating a restriction enzyme map of the fragment.

In another example, a DNA template for which at least a partial DNA sequence is known can be used as a source of amplified test DNA. Such amplification may be carried out by any known preparative technique, including PCR, NASBA, and SDA.

15 Such amplification is performed by positioning primers on either side of a suspected mismatch or sequence of interest. If desired, these primers may be labeled, for example, with a radioactive or fluorescent label, or with biotin. In general, the amplified sequence will be at least 50 base pairs in length inclusive. Recent advances in PCR technology have allowed amplification of up to 40 kb of DNA. Preferably, the 20 amplified region will be between 100 and 40,000 base pairs in length inclusive, more preferably between 150 and 5000 base pairs in length inclusive. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that where the flanking DNA sequence is only partially known, a degenerate DNA oligonucleotide primer may be used to prepare test DNA by PCR amplification.

25 In another example, duplex nucleic acid may be subcloned into a suitable cloning vector and amplified using known oligonucleotide primers which hybridize to the cloning vector and are adjacent to the insertion site of the template. In this instance, no template DNA sequence information is required because the DNA oligonucleotide primers used for PCR amplification hybridize to a vector of known 30 DNA sequence and not the inserted template DNA. For example, the BluescriptTM vector can be used to sub-clone a DNA template into an acceptor site according to the manufacturer's instructions (Stratagene Cloning Systems, La Jolla, CA, Product

5 Catalogue, (1992)). The T7 and T3 DNA primers of the Bluescript vector can be used to PCR amplify the inserted DNA template (or concomitantly to sequence the inserted DNA template). Other commercially available sub-cloning vectors may also be used. These include, without limitation, phage lambda based insertion vectors and other prokaryotic and eukaryotic vectors (e.g., bacteriophage, insect virus (baculovirus), or 10 animal virus (SV-40 or adenovirus) based vectors described by Stratagene *supra*, and Sambrook et al. *supra*). As described above, the PCR amplified DNA template may be used as a source of test DNA, or, in an alternative method, a vector which includes a DNA insert bearing at least one DNA mismatch may first be amplified by propagation in bacteria, phage, insect, or animal cells prior to PCR amplification (see 15 Sambrook et al. *supra*). If sufficient DNA is available (i.e., at least 1 nanogram), the PCR amplification step can be eliminated.

In yet another example, RNA may be tested using the techniques described herein. the RNA may be purified from cells or tissues by techniques well known in the art. For example, RNA may be purified by oligo-dT chromatography to prepare 20 mRNA (see, for example, Sambrook et al., *supra*, and Ausubel et al., *supra*). In cases where ribosomal RNA is the subject of analysis or a particular mRNA is in abundance, oligo-dT chromatography will not be necessary. Purified RNA or mRNA is heat denatured to ensure complete single-strandedness and hybridized with a control strand (e.g., a control DNA strand) to form RNA:DNA heteroduplexes. Methods for 25 forming RNA:DNA duplexes are well known in the art and have been described in detail (see Sambrook et al., *supra*). After formation of an RNA:DNA heteroduplex, the method described herein may be used to detect mismatches produced by mispairing between the DNA and the RNA. In preferred embodiments, the control DNA is 5' end-labeled. Alternatively, RNA can be uniformly labeled by adding 30 radiolabeled uracil to living cells or tissues.

Either or both of the strands of the duplex nucleic acid may be derived from any eukaryotic cell (for example, a human cell), eubacterial cell, bacteriophage, DNA

5 virus, or RNA virus. Preferred RNA viruses include, without limitation, human T-cell leukemia virus and human immunodeficiency virus (for example, HTLV-I, HTLV-II, HIV-1, and HIV-2). Preferred DNA viruses include, without limitation, any one of the family *Adenoviridae*, *Papovaviridae*, or *Herpetoviridae*. Preferred eubacterial cells include, without limitation, any member of the order *Spirochaetales*,

10 *Kinetoplastida*, or *Actinomycetales*, of the family *Treponemataceae*, *Trypoanosomatidae*, or *Mycobacteriaceae*, and of the species *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, *Treponema pallidum*, *Treponema pertenue*, *Borrelia burgdorferi*, or *Trypanosoma cruzi*.

The nucleic acid strands may also include any human gene that can act as the target of a therapeutic protein (for example, an essential human gene required for disease onset, maintenance, or progression), or a human gene involved in or diagnostic of a disease or condition, for example, an oncogene or a tumor suppressor gene; preferable mammalian oncogenes include, without limitation, abl, akt, crk, erb-A, erb-B, ets, fes/fps, fgr, fms, fos, jun, kit, mil/raf, mos, myb, myc, H-ras, K-ras, rel, ros, 20 sea, sis, ski, src, and yes; preferable tumor suppressor genes include p53, retinoblastoma (preferably RB1), adenomatous polyposis coli, NF-1, NF-2, MLH-1, MTS-1, MSH-2, BRCA-1, BRCA-2, ATM, and human non-polyposis genes.

Alternatively, one or both of the duplex nucleic acid strands may be isolated from any one of the β -globin, α_1 -antitrypsin, 21-hydroxylase, pyruvate dehydrogenase 25 E1 α -subunit, dihydropteridine reductase, rhodopsin, β -amyloid, nerve growth factor, superoxide dismutase, Huntington's disease, cystic fibrosis, adenosine deaminase, β -thalassemia, ornithine transcarbamylase, collagen, bcl-2, β -hexosaminidase, topoisomerase II, hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase, phenylalanine 4-monooxygenase, Factor VIII, Factor IX, nucleoside phosphorylase, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, phosphoribosyltransferase, Duchenne muscular dystrophy, von Hippel Lindau, or the mouse mottled Menkes genes. Nucleic acids may also be derived from any cell cycle control gene, preferably p21, p27, or p16.

iv) Additional binding assays: The binding of a reactive agent to a duplex nucleic acid may be assayed by any known technique. For example, although

5 the approach described above involves the detection of a labeled duplex nucleic acid captured by a reactive agent immobilized to a microtiter well, any other type of solid support may be utilized. Such solid supports include, without limitation, beads (for example, magnetic beads) as well as test tubes, plates, columns, or chips.

Immobilization may also be accomplished by any appropriate means, including direct 10 as well as indirect binding. Indirect binding may involve any type of covalent or non-covalent specific binding pair. Such binding pairs are well known in the art and include any pair involving nucleic acid or protein components that are not denatured or separated under the conditions employed in the assay; such pairs include, without limitation, antigen/antibody pairs, DNA binding protein/DNA binding site pairs (for 15 example, the GCN4 protein and its DNA binding site), enzyme/substrate pairs, lectin/carbohydrate pairs, and base paired or ligated nucleic acids. A preferred specific binding pair according to the invention is avidin/biotin.

Alternatively, the binding reaction may be carried out in solution, and the complex detected by any standard technique. In one particular example, the complex 20 may be isolated by capturing the duplex nucleic acid (for example, on a nitrocellulose filter) and assaying for the presence on the filter of bound, labeled reactive agent. Alternatively, the reactive agent may be captured (for example, by immunological techniques, such as immunoprecipitation or a specific antibody column), and the presence of labeled duplex nucleic acid assayed. In yet another approach, the reactive 25 agent-duplex nucleic acid complex may be detected by standard techniques of DNA footprinting, gel retention assays, or other gel electrophoretic approaches.

v) Additional cleavage assays: As described above, the products of a mismatch cleavage reaction may be assayed by gel electrophoresis, preferably in the presence of size makers to determine the location of a mismatch in the duplex molecule. The present approach, however, is not limited to this technique, and may be carried out using any type of cleavage reaction and detection technique (for example, on a solid support). Examples of cleavage techniques useful in the invention are

5 described in Cotton et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5,698,400, and Babon et al., U.S.S.N. 08/545,404, hereby incorporated by reference.

10 vi) Additional nucleic acid separation techniques: In addition to the well known basic denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis technique described above (see also Sambrook et al., *supra*), a variety of electrophoretic methods are available for increasing the resolution of reactive agent binding or cleavage products and in particular, for analyzing large cleavage products (e.g., >2kb). Denaturing polyacrylamide gels exhibiting increased resolution have the advantage of allowing a more precise determination of the specific site of a mismatch in a duplex nucleic acid. Furthermore, such gels allow improved analysis of cleavage products. For example, 15 wedge-shaped spacers may be used to create a field gradient or incorporate a buffer gradient, an electrolyte gradient, or an acrylamide step gradient. Formamide may be included in a standard denaturing polyacrylamide gel, or longer gels may also be employed (80 to 100 cm). These electrophoretic techniques have been described in detail (Ausubel et al., *supra*). Alternatively, cleavage products larger than 2kb can be 20 specifically cut with a restriction enzyme to decrease fragment size, with the choice of a particular restriction enzyme being governed by a restriction enzyme map of the particular DNA to be analyzed. Alternatively, a large cleavage product can be electrophoresed on a denaturing (e.g., alkaline) agarose gel and directly visualized by 25 reagents (e.g., stains or dyes) which interact with DNA, for example, silver, ethidium bromide, acridine orange, 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindol (i.e. DAPI), Hoechst dyes, and the like (see Sambrook et al., *supra*; and Bassam et al., *Anal. Biochem.* 196, 80 (1991)). In addition, electrophoresed DNA may be transferred to a membrane (for example, DEAE-cellulose, nylon, or other suitable membranes) and visualized by 30 filter hybridization with a radioactive or non-radioactive (e.g., biotin, digoxigenin, or fluorescein) tagged probe.

Alternatively, nucleic acids (for example, duplex nucleic acid cleavage products) may be separated by non-gel techniques including, without limitation, any

-24-

5 HPLC column-based or capillary electrophoresis approach.

Other embodiments are within the claims.

Claims

5 1. A method for detecting a mismatch in a duplex nucleic acid, said method comprising:
a) contacting said duplex nucleic acid with a resolvase which is capable of cleaving a mismatch in a duplex nucleic acid, under conditions which permit said resolvase to bind but not cleave said mismatch; and
10 b) detecting said resolvase binding to said duplex nucleic acid as an indication of the presence of a mismatch in said duplex nucleic acid.

15 2. The method of claim 1, wherein said resolvase is a bacteriophage or a eukaryotic resolvase.

3. The method of claim 1, wherein said contacting conditions comprise the absence of magnesium.

20 4. The method of claim 1, wherein said contacting step is carried out in solution.

25 5. The method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of:
c) contacting said duplex nucleic acid with said resolvase under conditions which permit said resolvase to cleave a mismatch in said duplex nucleic acid; and
d) detecting a cleavage product as an indication of the presence of a mismatch in said duplex nucleic acid.

6. The method of claim 5, wherein said resolvase cleaves at or within 10

5 nucleotides of said mismatch.

7. The method of claim 5, wherein the site of said resolvase binding or said resolvase cleavage reaction is determined.

10 8. A method for detecting a mismatch in a duplex nucleic acid, said method comprising:

a) contacting said duplex nucleic acid with a reactive agent under conditions which permit said agent to bind but not cleave a mismatch in said duplex nucleic acid;

15 b) detecting binding of said agent to said duplex nucleic acid as an indication of the presence of a mismatch in said duplex nucleic acid;

c) contacting said duplex nucleic acid with said reactive agent under conditions which permit said agent to cleave a mismatch in said duplex nucleic acid; and

20 d) detecting a cleavage product as an indication of the presence of a mismatch in said duplex nucleic acid.

25 9. The method of claim 8, wherein said binding reaction conditions comprise the absence of magnesium and said cleaving reaction conditions comprise the presence of magnesium.

10. The method of claim 1 or 8, wherein said reactive agent or said resolvase is T4 Endonuclease VII.

30 11. The method of claim 1 or 8, wherein, prior to said contact with said reactive agent or said resolvase, said duplex nucleic acid is labeled with at least one detection moiety.

-27-

5 12. The method of claim 1 or 8, wherein between said contact with said reactive agent or said resolvase and said detection step said duplex nucleic acid is post-digestion labeled with at least one detection moiety.

10 13. The method of claim 11 or 12, wherein said detection moiety is any one of a radioactive label, a fluorescent label, biotin, digoxigenin, a luminescent agent, a dye, or an enzyme.

15 14. The method of claim 1 or 8, wherein at least one strand of said duplex is labeled with biotin and said detecting step comprises reaction of said duplex with a streptavidin-bound detection moiety.

20 15. The method of claim 1 or 8, wherein at least one strand of said duplex nucleic acid is provided by amplification.

25 16. The method of claim 1 or 8, wherein said reactive agent, said resolvase, or said duplex nucleic acid is bound to a solid support.

30 17. The method of claim 16, wherein said solid support is a microtiter plate or a magnetic bead.

18. The method of claim 1 or 8, wherein said duplex nucleic acid is obtained from a heterozygote.

35 19. The method of claim 1 or 8, wherein at least one strand of said duplex nucleic acid is derived from a eukaryotic cell, a eubacterial cell, a bacterial cell, a mycobacterial cell, a bacteriophage, a DNA virus, or an RNA virus.

-28-

5 20. The method of claim 19, wherein at least one strand of said duplex nucleic acid is derived from a human cell.

21. The method of claim 1 or 8, wherein said duplex nucleic acid comprises at least one strand having a wild-type sequence.

10

22. The method of claim 1 or 8, wherein said mismatch indicates the presence of a mutation.

15 23. The method of claim 22, wherein said mutation is diagnostic of a disease or condition.

24. The method of claim 1 or 8, wherein said mismatch indicates the presence of a polymorphism.

20 25. The method of claim 1 or 8, wherein said mismatch occurs in an essential gene.

1/3




Fig. 1A




Fig. 1B




Fig. 1C

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

2/3




Fig. 2A




Fig. 2B




Fig. 2C

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

3/3




Fig. 2D




Fig. 2E

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US99/01936

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6) :C12Q 1/68; C12P 19/34

US CL :435/6, 91.2, 183, 195

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 435/6, 91.2, 183, 195

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)


Please See Extra Sheet.

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5,698,400 A (COTTON et al) 16 December 1997, columns 3-4.	1-12, 14-25
A	WO 96/35809 A1 (AVITECH DIAGNOSTICS, INC.) 14 November 1996, see entire document.	1-12, 14-25

 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
B earlier document published on or after the international filing date	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)		
O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		
P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"E"	document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search
08 MARCH 1999Date of mailing of the international search report
25 MAR 1999Name and mailing address of the ISA/US
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230Authorized officer
CARLA MYERS

Telephone No. (703) 308-0196

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US99/01936

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Electronic data bases consulted (Name of data base and where practicable terms used):

APS; DIALOG; MEDLINE, BIOSIS, EMBASE, SCISEARCH, DERWENT PATENTS
search terms: resolvase/endonuclease VII/topoisomerase/thymine glycosylase/ T7 endonuclease I; mismatch/mutation;
detect/detection; bind/binding/bound

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US99/01936

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:

2. Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:

3. Claims Nos.: 13
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:

4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
 No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

1/3




Fig. 1A



Fig. 1B




Fig. 1C

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 28)

2/3




Fig. 2A




Fig. 2B




Fig. 2C

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

3/3




Fig. 2D




Fig. 2E

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)